arXiv:1611.09641v1 [math.GR] 29 Nov 2016

Involutions of type G_2 over a field of characteristic two

John Hutchens
Winston-Salem State University
hutchensjd@wssu.edu

Nathaniel Schwartz
Washington College
nschwartz2@washcoll.edu

November 30, 2016

1 Introduction

In this paper we establish a classification of isomorphism classes of automorphisms of order 2 of algebraic groups of the form $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ where C is an octonion algebra defined over a field of characteristic 2 and their fixed point groups. Groups of type G_2 were first defined over fields of characteristic 2 by Dickson in [9, 10] and later by Chevalley in [8]. For fields of not characteristic 2, a similar classification has been carried out by Yokota in [26] and Hutchens in [19].

The orginal study of symmetric spaces began with Cartan [5, 6] in 1926-27, followed by Gantmacher in [14]. Berger also classifies symmetric spaces of real Lie algebras in [3]. Helminck defined a generalization of these real symmetric spaces and called them symmetric k-varieties. He provided a characterization of these spaces in [16, 15] over fields of not characteristic 2. For finite fields this problem was solved by Thomas in [25], and Aschbacher and Seitz included these results to complete their classification of such structures for groups of Lie type over fields of even order in [1]. What we call involutions

of type I correspond to Thomas' central involutions, and our involutions of type II correspond to his non-central involutions over finite fields. Schwartz has provided descriptions for algebraic groups of classical type A_n over fields of characteristic 2 in [22], and Hutchens has over fields of characteristic not 2 for algebraic groups of type G_2 in [19].

In 4.2 we prove the classification of k-involutions depends on isomorphism classes of four dimensional subalgebras of C. This is also true when the characteristic is not 2, which Jacobson proves in [20]. His argument relies on the fact that there is a (-1)-eigenspace for each element of order 2 in $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$, the existence of which we forfeit over characteristic 2. Also, four dimensional subalgebras of C are all of quaternion type when the characteristic is not 2. In the characteristic 2 case we have another type of four dimensional subalgebra that corresponds to elements of order 2 in $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. A representative of this second type of involution is used by Callens and de Medts in [4] to construct a class of Moufang sets. Recently there has been a lot interest in topics related to algebras and algebraic groups over characteristic 2, for example [21, 11, 2].

This paper is organized as follows. 2 contains a brief background on composition algebras, including necessary prerequisites. Here, we generally follow the conventions and notations used by Hoffmann and Laghribi in [17]. In 3 we settle on a basis for our octonion algebra C, and we describe two different four dimensional subalgebras: quaternion subalgebras and four-dimensional totally singular subalgebras. The latter is actually a Clifford algebra that only occurs in characteristic 2. We show that every involution in $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ fixes point-wise one of these two types of subalgebras. We call the involutions that fix a quaternion subalgebra *type I*, and involutions that fix a four-dimensional totally singular subalgebra *type II*. In 4, we see that there is only one conjugacy class of involutions of type I in the case that the fixed subalgebra is split, and there are no involutions when the fixed subalgebra is a division quaternion subalgebra. We show in 4.11 that the fixed point groups of type I are of the form $\mathrm{SL}_2(k) \times G_+(k)$, and subsequently describe the fixed point groups of type II.

In 5 we describe the involutions in $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ fixing a four dimensional totally singular subalgebra B. We show in 5.9 that these involutions are induced by elements in the set $\widehat{B}$ of elements whose norm is equal to the coefficient of the identity component. In 5.10 we show every such involution acts invariantly on B. In 5.22 we establish that, if B is a division algebra, then its automorphism group is trivial. 5.24 summarizes how two involutions

can be conjugate when the fixed point algebra is division. We find that all involutions are conjugate to the map $u \mapsto u + e$; hence there is a single conjugacy class of involutions when B is not a division algebra. The entire situation is summarized in 5.27. A short discussion of the corresponding Galois cohomology follows.

2 Preliminaries and composition algebras

If k is a field of characteristic 2, we call k a *perfect field* if $k^2 = k$. This is the case for all finite and algebraically closed fields of characteristic 2. For a classification of involutions and their centralizers of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ over finite fields see [1] and [25].

We denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(C, B)$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ leaving a subaglebra $B \subset C$ invariant and by $\mathrm{Aut}(C)^B$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ leaving B fixed elementwise. The additive group of a field k will be denoted by $G_+(k)$ and the multiplicative group of k is denoted by $G_*(k)$. When $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$ the group $G_+(k)$ has subgroups $G_+(k^2)$ and

$$\wp(k) = \{x^2 + x \mid x \in k\}.$$

When we consider groups of type G_2 over fields of characteristic not 2 they are induced by an element of an automorphism group of an octonion algebra over k that fixes a quaternion subalgebra. We see in [19] that the isomorphism classes of quaternion subalgebras of an octonion algebra C are in bijection with the conjugacy classes of k-involutions of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. Also, the fixed point group of these k-involutions of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ have the form

$$\mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t} \cong \mathrm{Aut}(D) \ltimes \mathrm{Sp}(1, k),$$

where D is the quaternion subalgebra of C fixed by $t \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(1, k)$ is the group of norm 1 quaternions in D. We have a similar situation in characteristic 2. In 3.2 and 3.3 we show that involutions of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ in characteristic 2 are induced by elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ that fix four dimensional subalgebras of C, and in 4.11 we see that the fixed point groups take a slightly different form than in the characteristic not 2 case.

For the remainder of this paper we will only consider fields of characteristic 2 unless otherwise stated. Let k be a field of characteristic 2 and V be a vector space over k; then $\mathrm{q} : V \to k$ is a *quadratic form* on V if

$$\mathrm{q}(\lambda x) = \lambda^2 \, \mathrm{q}(x)$$

for all $\lambda \in k$ and $v \in V$, such that

$$\mathrm{q}(x+y) + \mathrm{q}(x) + \mathrm{q}(y) = b(x,y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$, where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : V \times V \to k$ is a symmetric bilinear form.

A quadratic form is *non-degenerate* if the associated bilinear form is non-degenerate; that is, if $V^\perp = 0$. In other words, $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is non-degenerate if $\langle x, y\rangle = 0$ for all $x, y \in V$ implies $x = 0$. A nonzero vector $v \in V$ is called isotropic if $x \in V^\perp$ and $\mathrm{q}(x) = 0$. Since $0 = \mathrm{q}(0) = \mathrm{q}(x + x + \mathrm{q}(x) + \mathrm{q}(x) = \langle x, x\rangle$, $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is also alternating. Note that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is uniquely determined from q, but q is not uniquely determined by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$.

Suppose V has dimension $2r + s$. Then there exists a basis for V of the form $\{e_i, f_i, g_j\}$ and elements $a_i, b_i, c_j \in k$ with $1 \le i \le r$, $1 \le j \le s$, such that

$$\mathrm{q}(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left(a_i x_i^2 + x_i y_i + b_i y_i^2\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j z_j^2$$

for any $v = \sum_{i=1}^{2}(x_i e_i + y_i j_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{s} x_j g_j$. We denote this quadratic form by

$$[a_1, b_1] \perp [a_2, b_2] \perp \cdots \perp [a_r, b_r] \perp \langle c_1, \ldots, c_s\rangle,$$

where $\langle c_1, \ldots, c_s\rangle$ is called the *diagonal* part of q.

An *isometry* of two quadratic spaces (V_1, q_1) and (V_2, q_2) is a bijection $\sigma : V_1 \to V_2$ such that $\mathrm{q}_2(\sigma(v)) = q_1(v)$ for all $v \in V_1$. If such an isometry exists, we say that q_1 and q_2 are equivalent.

As noted in [17] the following equivalences hold for all $a, b, c, d \in k$ and $x \in k^*$:

$$\langle a\rangle \cong \langle x^2 a\rangle \tag{2.1}$$
$$[a, b] \cong [ax^2, bx^{-2}] \tag{2.2}$$
$$\langle a, b\rangle \cong \langle a, a + b\rangle \cong \langle b, a\rangle \tag{2.3}$$
$$[a, b] \cong [a, a + b + 1] \cong [b, a] \tag{2.4}$$
$$[a, b] \perp \langle c\rangle \cong [a + c, b] \perp \langle c\rangle \tag{2.5}$$
$$[a, b] \perp [c, d] \cong [a + c, b] \perp [c, b + d]. \tag{2.6}$$

An *n-fold Pfister form* is a form of type $b = \langle 1, a_1\rangle_b \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle 1, a_n\rangle_b$ for some $a_i \in G_*(k)$. In this case, the quadratic form is totally singular, which implies the form is quasi-linear, and we write $\mathrm{q} = \langle\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n\rangle\rangle$. The form q is called an *n-fold quasi-Pfister form.*

A *hyperbolic plane* $\mathbb{H}$ is a non-singular form isometric to $[0,0]$. Any non-singular form which is an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes is called hyperbolic.

A *composition algebra* is a k-algebra with an identity element e equipped with a nondegenerate quadratic form $\mathrm{q} : C \to k$ which permits composition, i.e.

$$\mathrm{q}(xy) = \mathrm{q}(x)\,\mathrm{q}(y),$$

for all $x, y \in C$.

It was shown by Hurwitz [18] that such algebras exist only in $1, 2, 4$ and 8 dimensions. Over a field of characteristic 2 composition algebras exist in $2, 4$ and 8 dimensions. We can construct composition algebras of larger dimension from composition algebras of smaller dimension by using the Cayley-Dickson process. Usually we can choose an orthogonal basis with respect to a bilinear form. The space ke is not nonsingular when $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$. In order to get around this we choose any element $u \in C$ such that $\langle u, e\rangle \neq 0$. By doing so we can arrive at the following result concerning the basis of an octonion algebra.

Proposition 2.1. *If* $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$ *(except when* $k = \mathbb{F}_2$*,* $\dim_k(C) = 2$ *with* q *isotropic) then* C *has a basis* $\{e, u, v, uv, w, uw, vw, (uv)w\}$ *with*

$$\langle e, u\rangle = 1, \langle v, uv\rangle = \mathrm{q}(v), \langle w, uw\rangle = \mathrm{q}(w), \langle vw, (uv)w\rangle = \mathrm{q}(v)\,\mathrm{q}(w)$$

and all the other bilinear forms between distinct pairs of basis vectors are zero.

Composition algebras have a minimum equation

$$x^2 + \langle x, e\rangle x + \mathrm{q}(x)e = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

which is often useful, as is its linearization

$$xy + yx + \langle x, y\rangle e + \langle x, e\rangle y + \langle y, e\rangle x = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

We also define a map of order 2 that is analogous to complex conjugation

$$\bar{x} = \langle x, e\rangle e + x,$$

and note that $x^{-1} = \mathrm{q}(x)^{-1}\bar{x}$ when $\mathrm{q}(x) \neq 0$, and that x^{-1} does not exist otherwise.

3 Four dimensional subalgebras

When $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$, an octonion algebra C has only nonsingular subalgebras, which are all quaternion subalgebras. This is not true when $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$; there are also totally singular subalgebras with diagnolizable quadratic forms. Along with quaternion subalgebras we are concerned with four dimensional totally singular subalgebras of C, as they correspond to a type of automorphisms of order 2 in $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$.

When k is not perfect we have octonion division algebras over fields of characteristic 2. In this case their four dimensional subalgebras are all division algebras, and so there are two main classes of of subalgebras: quaternion division algebras and four dimensional totally singular subalgebras. The latter of these are purely separable field extensions of k. We can also have split octonion algebras over non-perfect fields. These algebras have both split and division quaternion subalgebras. Four dimensional totally singular subalgebras can be either split, division, or an intermediate type.

In general if we take $u \in C$ to be an element such that $\langle u, e\rangle = 1$ we can construct a basis for C by taking v, w perpendicular to u and e to get

$$\{e, u, v, uv, w, uw, vw, (uv)w\},$$

with a non-singular bilinear form generated by a quadratic form

$$[1, \alpha] \perp \beta[1, \alpha] \perp \gamma([1, \alpha] \perp \beta[1, \alpha]).$$

By taking subsets of this basis that are closed under multiplication we arrive at two main types; the quaternion basis

$$\{e, u, v, uv\}$$

with a non-singular bilinear form generated by a quadratic form $[1, \alpha] \perp \beta[1, \alpha]$, and the set

$$\{e, v, w, vw\}$$

with a totally singular bilinear form generated by a quasi-Pfister form $\langle\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle\rangle$.

When k is a perfect field, any octonion algebra is split. In this case the quaternion and four dimensional totally singular subalgebras are all split as well. If k is not perfect, within each of these main types of four dimensional subalgebras there could be many isomorphism classes. For a more detailed discussion of quaternion algebras over characteristic 2 see [7]. In the following few results we see the importance of these four dimensional subalgebras.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $t \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $t^2 = \mathrm{id}$, then $C^t \neq ke$.*

Proof. Assume $C^t = ke$. Then there exist distinct basis vectors $v, w \in C$ such that $t(v) \neq v$ and $t(w) \neq w$. Since $t^2 = \mathrm{id}$, $t(v) + v$ and $t(w) + w$ are fixed by t since, for example,

$$t\big(t(v) + v\big) = t^2(v) + t(v) = v + t(v).$$

So $t(v) + v, t(w) + w \in C^t = ke$. Then $t(v) = v + \delta_1 e$ and $t(w) = w + \delta_2 e$ for some $\delta_1, \delta_2 \neq 0$. Observe that

$$t(\delta_1^{-1} v + \delta_2^{-1} w) = \delta_1^{-1}(v + \delta_1 e) + \delta_2^{-1}(w + \delta_2 e) = \delta_1^{-1} v + \delta_2^{-1} w,$$

which contradicts the assumption that $C^t = ke$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let C be an octonion algebra over a field k with $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$, and let $u \in C$ such that $u \notin ke$, $\langle u, e\rangle \neq 0$ and $t(u) = u$. Then $t \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ and $t^2 = \mathrm{id}$ if and only if there exists a quaternion subalgebra $D \subset C$ such that t fixes D elementwise.*

Proof. Let $t \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $t^2 = \mathrm{id}$. If $u \notin ke$ and $u \in C^t$, then there exists $v \in C$ such that

$$\langle e, v\rangle = \langle u, v\rangle = 0,$$

and $\{e, u, v, uv\}$ is the basis of a quaternion subalgebra $D \subset C$.

If $v \in C^t$ then so is uv, and D is fixed elementwise by t. There are no other composition subalgebras containing D other than C. If t fixes all of C, then $t = \mathrm{id}$, and if not, then $D = C^t$.

If $v \notin C^t$, notice that

$$t(v + t(v)) = t(v) + tt(v) = v + t(v),$$

and so $v + t(v) \in C^t$. In this case

$$\langle v + t(v), u\rangle = \langle v, u\rangle + \langle t(v), u\rangle = 0 + \langle t(v), t(u)\rangle = \langle v, u\rangle = 0.$$

Our only question is whether or not $v + t(v) \in ke + ku$. Since $\langle u, e\rangle \neq 0$, then

$$\langle x_0 e + x_1 u, v + t(v)\rangle = 0,$$

so $v + t(v) \in (ke + ku)^\perp \subset C \setminus (ke + ku)$. And C^t must be a quaternion subalgebra as it contains u such that $\langle u, e\rangle \neq 0$. □

Now we must address the case when t fixes $v \in C$ such that $v \neq e$ and $\langle v, e\rangle = 0$. We proceed in a similar manner as in 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let C be an octonion algebra over a field k with $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$, and let $v \in C$ such that $v \notin ke$, $\langle v, e\rangle = 0$ and $t(v) = v$. Then $t \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ and $t^2 = \mathrm{id}$ if and only if there exists a four dimensional subalgebra $B \subset C$ such that t fixes B elementwise.*

Proof. Assume that $C^t = ke \oplus kv$ such that $v^2 = \beta e$, and consider $w, u \in C$ such that $w^2 = \gamma e$ and $u^2 = u + \alpha e$. Then $t(u) \neq u$ and $t(w) \neq w$ but $t(u) + u, t(w) + w \in C^t = ke \oplus kv$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} t(uw) &= (u + m_0 e + m_1 v)(w + n_0 e + n_1 v) \\ &= uw + (m_0 n_0 + \beta m_1 n_1)e + (m_0 n_1 + m_1 n_0)v \\ &\quad + m_0 w + m_1 vw + n_0 u + n_1 uv. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t(uw) + uw \in C^t = ke \oplus kv$, $m_0 = m_1 = n_0 = n_1 = 0$, and hence $t(uw) = uw$. Moreover, the fixed subalgebra must be four dimensional; otherwise t fixes an eight dimensional subalgebra and is the identity map. □

This gives us a partial characterization of our inner automorphisms of order 2, and gives us two *types* of involutions on $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. We say an involution is of *type I* if it fixes a quaternion subalgebra of C and of *type II* if it fixes a totally singular four dimensional subalgebra.

It is also interesting to note that the totally singular four dimensional subalgebras B are Clifford algebras since all elements in B are orthogonal to each other. For $b \in B$, the Cayley equation simplifies to

$$b^2 + \langle b, e\rangle b + \mathrm{q}(b)e = 0 \Rightarrow b^2 = \mathrm{q}(b).$$

4 Involutions fixing a quaternion subalgebra

It was shown in [24] that any element $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ that leaves a quaternion subalgebra $D \subset C$ invariant is of the form

$$g(x + yw) = cxc^{-1} + (pcyc^{-1})w, \tag{4.1}$$

where p and $c \in D$, $w \in D^{\perp}$, $\mathrm{q}(w) \neq 0$, $\mathrm{q}(p) = 1$, and $\mathrm{q}(c) \neq 0$. If $t \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^D$ such that $t^2 = \mathrm{id}$ then

$$t(x + yw) = x + (ry)w, \tag{4.2}$$

where $r^2 = e$ and $\mathrm{q}(r) = 1$ since t must act as the identity on D.

Lemma 4.1. *Let C be an octonion algebra defined over k a field of characteristic 2. If $t_1, t_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ are of order 2 and type 1 then $t_1 \cong t_2$ if and only if there exists $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $g^{-1}t_1 g = t_2$, $g : D_2 \to D_1$ where $D_i = C^{t_i}$, and $g(r_2) = r_1$ (when r_i and t_i are as in 4.9).*

Proof. We take D_1 and D_2 to be the quaternion subalgebras of C fixed by t_1 and t_2 respectively. Let $t_1 \cong t_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ have order 2 then there exists $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $g^{-1}t_1 g = t_2$. If we let $y \in D_2$, then

$$t_2(y) = g^{-1}t_1 g(y).$$

Now $g : C \to C$ is an automorphism, so $g(D_2) \cong D_2 \subset C$. Let $g(D_2) = D$ and $g(y) = x \in D$, then

$$t_1 g(y) = g t_2(y)$$
$$t_1 g(y) = g(y).$$

This tells us $D \subset D_1$. Since $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$, it must be true that $g(D_2) = D_1$.

If we consider the equation

$$t_1 g(x' + y'w) = g t_2(x' + y'w),$$

we see that

$$g(x') + r_1 g(y')g(w) = g(x') + g(r_2)g(y')g(w),$$

for all $x', y' \in D_2$ and we have $g(r_2) = r_1$.

Let $t_1, t_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $t_1^2 = t_2^2 = \mathrm{id}$ and $t_1, t_2 \neq \mathrm{id}$. We denote by D_1 and D_2 the quaternion algebras fixed by t_1 and t_2 respectively. Choosing $x', y' \in D_2$ so we have $g(x'), g(y') \in D_1$ and $w \in D_2^{\perp}$ such that $\mathrm{q}(w) \neq 0$ we see that

$$g t_2(x' + y'w) = g(x') + \big(g(y')g(r_2)\big)g(w),$$

and

$$t_1 g(x' + y'w) = g(x') + \big(g(y')r_1 g(w)\big).$$

Restricting to the case that $x' = 0, y' = e$ and $g(r_2) = r_1$. Noting that w is invertible we see $g t_2 = t_1 g$ for all $x' + y'w \in C$. ☐

Proposition 4.2. *If $t_1, t_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ are of order 2and type 1 with $D_1, D_2 \subset C$ their respective quaternion fixed point subalgebras, then the following statements are equivalent;*

(1) $t_1 \cong t_2$

(2) $\mathcal{I}_{t_1} \cong \mathcal{I}_{t_2}$

(3) *There exists* $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ *such that* $g(D_2) = D_1$ *and* $g(r_2) = r_1$.

Proof. Notice that 1 implies 2 is concluded almost immediately by noticing that if $gt_1g^{-1} = t_2$, then $\mathcal{I}_g\mathcal{I}_{t_1}\mathcal{I}_g^{-1} = \mathcal{I}_{t_2}$. We can arrive at the fact that 2 implies 1 by noticing the center of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ is trivial, therefore any inner automorphism of order 2 is induced by an element of order 2 in $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. Then we see that when $\mathcal{I}_g\mathcal{I}_{t_1}\mathcal{I}_g^{-1} = \mathcal{I}_{t_2}$ we have

$$\mathcal{I}_g\mathcal{I}_{t_1}\mathcal{I}_g^{-1}(h) = \mathcal{I}_{t_2}(h),$$

for all $h \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$. This puts $t_2gt_1g^{-1} \in Z(\mathrm{Aut}(C)) = \{\mathrm{id}\}$, and it follows that $gt_1g^{-1} = t_2$. The results from 4.1 gives us (1) if and only if (3). □

In the case $C^t \subset C$ is a split quaternion subalgebra, all $r \in C^t$ such that $r^2 = e$, and $\mathrm{q}(r) = 1$ are isomorphic as shown in the following results. We show in 4.7 that there are no elements of order 2 in $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ fixing a division quaternion algebra, and so 4.2 (3) can be shown to depend on the isomorphism class of the fixed quaternion subalgebra of C only.

Lemma 4.3. *If* $R^2 = \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ *then*

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ (R_{11}^2 + 1)R_{12}^{-1} & R_{11} \end{bmatrix},$$

up to conjugation in $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$.

Proof. By straight forward computation $R^2 = \mathrm{id}$ gives us one of the following forms

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ (R_{11}^2 + 1)R_{12}^{-1} & R_{11} \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & (R_{11}^2 + 1)R_{12}^{-1} \\ R_{12} & R_{11} \end{bmatrix},$$

which are in the same conjugacy class through conjugation by $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let* D *be a split subalgebra of* C *and* $r \in D$ *with* $r^2 = e$ *then*

$$r \cong \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(k).$$

Proof. Recall that when D is a split quaternion algebra $D \cong \mathrm{Mat}_2(k)$. Let r correspond to $R \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(k)$. Then take $P \in \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ and compute $\mathcal{I}_P(R)$ where $R^2 = \mathrm{id}$. Then R is of the form in 4.3 where $R_{12} \neq 0$. Now we see that taking

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ R_{11} + 1 & R_{12} \end{bmatrix},$$

we have $\mathcal{I}_P(R) = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. □

Corollary 4.5. *If $r_1, r_2 \in \mathrm{SL}_2(k)$, $r_1^2 = r_2^2 = \mathrm{id}$ with $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$, then r_1 is conjugate to r_2.*

When considering $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ over a field of characteristic 2, where $k^2 \neq k$, we still need to consider quaternion subalgebras of C as the result from 4.2 still holds.

When k is not perfect and t fixes a split quaternion subalgebra of a split octonion algebra, the conjugacy class of t only depends on the isomorphism class of the quaternion subalgebra D fixed by t.

If D is a quaternion algebra it has a basis of the form

$$\{e, u, v, uv\},$$

where $u^2 = u + \alpha e$, $v^2 = \beta e$ and $vu = uv + v$ with $\alpha \in k$ and $\beta \in G_*(k)$ see [12]. When this is the case our quadratic form is as follows

$$\mathrm{q}(c) = c_0^2 + c_0c_1 + \alpha c_1^2 + \beta(c_2^2 + c_2c_3 + \alpha c_3^2),$$

which implies that $q \sim [1, \alpha] \perp \beta[1, \alpha]$. We are interested in maps of the form $t(x + yw) = x + (ry)w$ where $\mathrm{q}(r) = 1$, $r^2 = e$ and $r \in C^t$. If we consider

$$r = \rho_0 e + \rho_1 u + \rho_2 v + \rho_3 uv,$$

and $r^2 = e$ using the basis above we see that

$$e = (\rho_0^2 + \alpha\rho_1^2 + \beta\rho_2^2 + \beta\rho_2\rho_3 + \alpha\beta\rho_3^2)e + \rho_1^2 u + \rho_1\rho_2 v + \rho_1\rho_3 uv,$$

and so $\rho_1 = 0$ and $\rho_0^2 + \beta(\rho_2^2 + \rho_2\rho_3 + \alpha\rho_3^2) = 1$. Notice that this is the norm of r and $\mathrm{q}(r) = 1$, which must be true since $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$ and $\mathrm{q}(r)^2 = 1$.

Proposition 4.6. *If there exists $r \in D$ a quaternion algebra such that $r \neq e$ and $r^2 = e$, then D is split.*

Proof. If $r \in D$ and $r^2 = e$, then

$$\mathrm{q}(r) = \rho_0^2 + \beta(\rho_2^2 + \rho_2\rho_3 + \alpha\rho_3^2),$$

and $\mathrm{q}(r) = 1$. If $\mathrm{q}(r) = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \rho_0^2 + \beta(\rho_2^2 + \rho_2\rho_3 + \alpha\rho_3^2) \\ 0 &= (1+\rho_0)^2 + \beta(\rho_2^2 + \rho_2\rho_3 + \alpha\rho_3^2). \end{aligned}$$

This tells us that $\mathrm{q}(e+r) = 0$, which tells us $r+e$ is a nontrivial zero divisor when $r \neq e$. □

Corollary 4.7. *There are no automorphisms of order* 2 *fixing a quaternion division algebra.*

In the case that C is a division algebra and $t \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $t^2 = \mathrm{id}$, t must fix a totally singular subalgebra. In which cases the $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugacy class of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$ depends solely on the conjugacy class of the totally singular subalgebra. So 4.2 can be restated as the following.

Lemma 4.8. *If* $t_1, t_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ *are of order* 2 *with* $D_1 = C^{t_1}, D_2 = C^{t_2}$*, then the following statements are equivalent;*

(1) $t_1 \cong t_2$

(2) $\mathcal{I}_{t_1} \cong \mathcal{I}_{t_2}$

(3) $D_2 \cong D_1$.

It is known, see [24], that all quaternion and octonion algebras are split when taken over a perfect field of characteristic 2. This follows from the fact that $k^2 = k$, and by noticing that for any choice of a quadratic form there exists a nonzero element $x \in D \subset C$ such that $\mathrm{q}(x) = 0$. So when k is a finite field or algebraically closed we see that the results follow directly from our results above. Further there are no involutions fixing division quaternion algebras.

Corollary 4.9. *If* D *is a split quaternion subalgebras of* C *over a field of characteristic* 2 *there is only one isomorphism class of inner* k*-involutions fixing* D*.*

Proof. By 4.2 we know that the classes of k-involutions of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ are in bijection with the isomorphism classes of quaternion subalgebras of C. □

Proposition 4.10. *If $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ and $t \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $t^2 = \mathrm{id}$ is of the form described in 4.9, then $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$ if and only if g leaves D invariant and $rpc = pcr$.*

Proof. Let $t^2 = \mathrm{id}$, then by 4.2 we have $C^t = D \subset C$ is a quaternion subalgebra. By [24] we can decompose $C = D \oplus Dw$ where $\mathrm{q}(w) \neq 0$, $w \in D^{\perp}$. So now we can write any element in C in the form $x + yw$, where $x, y \in D$. Let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $g(D) = D$, then by [24]

$$g(x + yw) = cxc^{-1} + (pcyc^{-1})w,$$

where $\mathrm{q}(p) = 1$, and

$$t(x + yw) = x + (ry)w,$$

for $\mathrm{q}(r) = 1$. We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} gt(x + yw) &= g(x + (ry)w) \\ &= cxc^{-1} + (pcryc^{-1})w \\ &= cxc^{-1} + (rpcyc^{-1})w \\ &= tg(x + yw). \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in D$, so $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$. To finish the proof let us assume $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$. Let $x, y \in D$ as above

$$\begin{aligned} g(x + yw) &= tgt(x + yw) \\ &= tg(x) + t(g(ry)g(w)) \\ g(x) + g(y)g(w) &= tg(x) + t(g(ry)g(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $y = 0$ we see that $g(x) = tg(x)$, so $g(x) \in C^t = D$ for all $x \in D$. Since g is a bijection, $g(D) = D$. □

Notice this is different from the analogous result when $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$ in that case we can take $r = -e$ and there are no commutativity issues. When $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$ and t fixes a split quaternion subalgebra of C the fixed point group is of the form

$$\mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t} \cong \mathrm{Aut}(D) \ltimes \mathrm{Sp}(1),$$

where $\mathrm{Sp}(1) = \{p \in C \mid \mathrm{q}(p) = 1\}$. For split quaternion algebras over a given field there is only one isomorphism class. The correspondence between quaternion subalgebras of C and certain conjugacy classes of k-involutions still holds when $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$, but the fixed point group of $\mathcal{I}_t$ takes a different form.

Theorem 4.11. *For k a field with $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$, when $t \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ is an involution of type I*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t} \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(k) \times G_+(k).$$

Proof. Let k be a field of characteristic 2. To construct an automorphism of order 2 of C, we need only to choose an $r \in D$ with $r^2 = e$ and $\mathrm{q}(r) = 1$. Since there is only one isomorphism class of quaternion subalgebras in this case we have only one isomorphism class of automorphisms of order 2. Choose $D = \mathrm{Mat}_2(k)$, $t(x + yw) = x + (ry)w$ with

$$r = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we take $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$, g must be of the form

$$g_{(c,p)}(x + yw) = cxc^{-1} + (pcyc^{-1})w,$$

where $\mathrm{q}(c) \neq 0$, and $p \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$. Since p is invertible $pc \in \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$. Simple calculations show that if $pcr = rpc$, we have

$$pc \in UT(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \\ 0 & a_0 \end{bmatrix} \;\middle|\; a_0^2 = \mathrm{q}(c), a_1 \in G_+(k) \right\}.$$

We now consider the map $g_{(c,p)} \mapsto g_{(c,P)}$ where $P = pc$ for some $p \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$. In this case g takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} g_{(c,P)}(x + yw) &= cxc^{-1} + \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \\ 0 & a_0 \end{bmatrix} yc^{-1} \right) w \\ &= \left(a_0^{-1}c\right) x \left(a_0^{-1}c\right)^{-1} + \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_0^{-1}a_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} y \left(a_0^{-1}c\right)^{-1} \right) w \\ &= g_{(a_0^{-1}c, a_0^{-1}P)}(x + yw), \end{aligned}$$

when $\det(c) = a_0^2 = \mathrm{q}(c)$. We take

$$\check{p} \in G_+(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \check{a_1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \;\middle|\; \check{a_1} \in k \right\}.$$

Since $c \in \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ we have $a_0^{-1}c \in \mathrm{SL}_2(k)$. The surjective homomorphism

$$\Psi : \mathrm{SL}_2(k) \times G_+(k) \to \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$$

$$(a_0^{-1}c, \check{p}) \mapsto g_{(a_0^{-1}c, \check{p})},$$

has $\ker(\Psi) = Z(\mathrm{SL}_2(k)) \times \{\mathrm{id}\} = \{\mathrm{id}\} \times \{\mathrm{id}\}$ since we are in a field of characteristic 2, and we are left with

$$\mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t} \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(k) \times G_+(k).$$

□

Theorem 4.12. *If C contains a split quaternion subalgebra there is one $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugacy class of involutions of type I, otherwise there are no involutions of type I. Moreover, if t is an involution of type I, then the fixed point group of t is $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugate to $\mathrm{SL}_2(k) \times G_+(k)$.*

Proof. By 4.8 we now that $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugacy classes of involutions of type I are in bijection with $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugacy classes of fixed point groups of involutions of type I, and that the $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugacy classes of fixed point groups are in bijection with isomorphism classes of quaternion subalgebras of C. And then finally we note that there is only one isomorphism class of split quaternion subalgebras and that there are no involutions of type I fixing a division quaternion subalgebra by 4.7. Finally 4.11 gives us a representative of the $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugacy class of the fixed point group. □

In the next section we explore a similar characterization for four dimensional totally singular subalgebras of an octonion algebra and involutions of type II.

5 Involutions fixing a four dimensional totally singular subalgebra

Let B be a four dimensional totally singular subalagebra of an octonion algebra C. In this section, we determine the conjugacy classes of automorphisms

of order 2 that fix B. From 3, we know that B has basis $\{e, v, w, vw\}$ with

$$v^2 = \beta e \text{ and } w^2 = \gamma e.$$

There exists some $u \in C \setminus B$ with $\langle u, e\rangle = 1$ and $\mathrm{q}(u) = \alpha \in k$. It follows that $\langle v, vu\rangle = \beta$, $\langle w, wu\rangle = \gamma$, and $\langle vw, (vw)u\rangle = \beta\gamma$, and the bilinear form of all other pairs of basis vectors is zero. The basis of B can be extended to a basis $\{e, v, w, vw, u, vu, wu, (vw)u\}$ of C by noting that $C = B \oplus Bu$. The quadratic form q on B is a totally singular quasi-Pfister form: $\mathrm{q} \cong \langle\langle \beta, \gamma\rangle\rangle = \langle 1, \beta, \gamma, \beta\gamma\rangle$, for some $\beta, \gamma \in G_*(k)$. For $x \in B$, we have

$$\mathrm{q}(x) = x_0^2 + \beta x_1^2 + \gamma x_2^2 + \beta\gamma x_3^2.$$

The following Corollary is a direct result of 4.6, 3.2 and 3.3.

Corollary 5.1. *When C is a division algebra, all automorphisms of order 2 fix 4 dimensional totally singular subalgebras B of C. Moreover, B is also a subfield of C.*

We use 5.2 to prove 5.3 below.

Lemma 5.2. *If $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ and $x \in C$, then $\overline{g(x)} = g(\bar{x})$.*

Proof. Since g is an automorphism of C, g is an isometry [24]; thus g preserves the bilinear form on C. For any $x \in C$, $\bar{x} = \langle x, e\rangle e + x$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\bar{x}) &= g\big(\langle x, e\rangle e + x\big) \\ &= g\big(\langle x, e\rangle e\big) + g(x) \\ &= \big\langle g(x), g(e)\big\rangle g(e) + g(x) \\ &= \big\langle g(x), e\big\rangle e + g(x) \\ &= \overline{g(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.3. *If $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$, then $g(u) = u + m + nu$ for some $m + nu \in ke^{\perp}$, where $m \in B$ $n \in kv \oplus kw \oplus kvw$ and $\mathrm{q}(m + nu) = \langle m, u\rangle$.*

Proof. Suppose $g(u) = u + m + nu$, where $m, n \in B$. Let

$$m = m_0 e + m_1 v + m_2 w + m_3 vw, \text{ and } \; n = n_0 e + n_1 v + n_2 w + n_3 vw$$

On one hand, $\overline{g(u)} = n_0 e + g(u)$. On the other hand, $g(\bar{u}) = e + g(u)$. By 5.2, $n_0 = 1$. It follows that $\langle m + nu, e\rangle = 0$, and hence $m + nu \in ke^{\perp}$.

Since $u^2 = u + \alpha e$, we have

$$g(u)^2 = g(u^2) = g(u + \alpha e) = g(u) + \alpha e.$$

Letting $z = m + nu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(u)^2 &= g(u) + \alpha e \\ (u+z)^2 &= u + z + \alpha e \\ u^2 + uz + zu + z^2 &= u + z + \alpha e \\ u + \alpha e + uz + uz + \langle u, z\rangle e + \langle u, e\rangle z + \langle z, e\rangle u + z^2 &= u + z + \alpha e \\ u + \alpha e + \langle u, z\rangle e + z + \mathrm{q}(z)e &= u + z + \alpha e, \end{aligned}$$

by applying 2.7 and 2.8 to z^2 and zu. Collecting terms, we have $\mathrm{q}(z) = \langle u, z\rangle = \langle u, m + nu\rangle = m_0 = \langle m, u\rangle$. □

Next we state some definitions and notation we use in the remainder of this section. Define the set

$$\widehat{C} = \{x + yu \in ke^{\perp} \mid \mathrm{q}(x + yu) = x_0\}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $x, y \in B$, which is a groups under the product induced by composition of functions in $\mathrm{Aut}(C, B)$. We also define

$$\widehat{B} = \widehat{C} \cap B,$$

which is a group under addition. We will often refer to

$$\widetilde{B} = \{b \in B \mid \langle b, u\rangle = 0\ \} = kv \oplus kw \oplus kvw,$$

and to this end we define the projection map $\tilde{}: B \to \widetilde{B}$ to be defined by $\tilde{b} = b + \langle b, u\rangle e$. Notice that $y \in \widetilde{B}$ in 5.1.

We now consider isomorphism classes of $\widehat{B}$ for different classes of B. Taking $\mathrm{q}(b) = \langle b, u\rangle = b_0$ we have

$$b_0^2 + \beta b_1^2 + \gamma b_2^2 + \beta\gamma b_3^2 = b_0 \iff \beta b_1^2 + \gamma b_2^2 + \beta\gamma b_3^2 = b_0^2 + b_0 \in \wp(k).$$

In other words, elements in $\widehat{B}$ correspond to elements in $\wp(k) \cap \mathrm{q}(\widetilde{B})$.

Proposition 5.4. *When B is a split totally singular four dimensional subalgebra of C, then*

$$\widehat{B} \cong G_+(k^2) \times G_+(k) \times G_+(k).$$

Proof. If B has quadratic form isometric to $\langle\langle 1, 1 \rangle\rangle$ then B is split. We have the equation

$$b_0 = b_0^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 = (b_0 + b_1 + b_2 + b_3)^2.$$

This implies $b_0 \in k^2$, since b_3 depends on our choice of b_0, b_1, and b_2. So there is an isomorphism of additive groups

$$\widehat{B} \cong G_+(k^2) \times G_+(k) \times G_+(k).$$

□

The additive group $G_+(k^2)$ is often denoted $\alpha_2(k)$ and is the additive group of squares in k when $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$.

Corollary 5.5. *When k is perfect, $k^2 = k$ and B is as above we have*

$$\widehat{B} \cong G_+(k) \times G_+(k) \times G_+(k).$$

As for the non-split four dimensional totally singular subalgebra B, we appeal to the theory of quadratic forms. In these cases the quadratic forms are quasi-Pfister forms where

$$q(z) = z_0^2 + \beta z_1^2 + \gamma z_2^2 + \beta\gamma z_3^2,$$

and we write $q = \langle\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, \beta, \gamma, \beta\gamma \rangle$. The following result due to Hoffman and Laghribi can be found in [17]; an equivalent result also appears in the discussion of totally singular quadratic forms in [13].

Proposition 5.6. *There exists a natural bijection between anisotropic n-fold quasi-Pfister forms and purely inseparable extensions of k^2 of degree 2^n inside k which is given by*

$$\langle\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle\rangle \leftrightarrow k^2(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n).$$

Corollary 5.7. *Let B be a totally singular four dimensional subalgebra and $\beta, \gamma \in G_*(k)$. Then*

(1) $[k^2(\beta,\gamma):k^2]=4$ *if and only if* $\langle\langle\beta,\gamma\rangle\rangle$ *defines a division algebra*

(2) $[k^2(\beta,\gamma):k^2]=2$ *if and only if* $\langle\langle\beta,\gamma\rangle\rangle$ *an algebra of indeterminate type (with a* 2 *dimensional division subalgebra)*

(3) $[k^2(\beta,\gamma):k^2]=1$ *if and only if* $\langle\langle\beta,\gamma\rangle\rangle$ *defines a split algebra.*

Notice that all of our division subalgebras of type B are fields since this subalgebra is commutative. Let us consider some specific examples of algebras of type B over fields of rational functions with coefficients in a perfect field.

Example 5.8. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be any finite (or algebraically closed) field of characteristic 2, and consider $k=\mathbb{F}(\chi_1)$. In this case only split algebras or those of indeterminate type occur. Since $k^2=\mathbb{F}(\chi_1^2)$, if $\beta\in G_*(k)\backslash G_*(k)^2$ then $k^2(\beta)=k$. So the largest k^2-dimension of a field of the form $k^2(\beta,\gamma)$ is 2, and we can choose $\beta,\gamma\in k^2$ to get an algebra of split type.

When we adjoin another indeterminate, we have $k=\mathbb{F}(\chi_1,\chi_2)$, and we now have enough degrees of freedom to construct a four dimensional division algebra. The squares in k make up the subfield $k^2=\mathbb{F}(\chi_1^2,\chi_2^2)$. There is only one division algebra since

$$k=k^2\oplus k^2\chi_1\oplus k^2\chi_2\oplus k^2\chi_1\chi_2,$$

and so $[k:k^2]=4$, and $[k^2(\beta,\gamma):k^2]\leq 4$ when $\beta,\gamma\in k$.

Proposition 5.9. *If* $t\in\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ *has order* 2 *and fixes a totally singular subaglebra of* C*, then*

$$t(x+yu)=x+y(u+b),$$

for some $b\in\widehat{B}$*.*

Proof. By 5.3, we have $t(u)=u+m+nu$ with $m+nu\in ke^{\perp}$ and $\mathrm{q}(m+nu)=m_0$. It remains to show that $m+nu\in B$. Observe that

$$t^2(u)=t(u+m+nu)=u+m+nu+t(m+nu),$$

and since $t^2=\mathrm{id}$ we have

$$u+m+nu+t(m+nu)=u,$$

and so $t(m+nu)=m+nu$. And we have $m+nu=b\in\widehat{B}$. □

Proposition 5.10. *Let $t \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ with $t^2 = \mathrm{id}$ and fixed point subalgebra B. If $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$, then $g(B) = B$.*

Proof. For any $x \in B$ and $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$, we have $tg(x) = gt(x) = g(x)$. This shows that $g(x)$ is fixed by t, hence $g(x) \in B$. On the other hand, since g and t are bijections, the other containment holds as well. □

Proposition 5.11. *Denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(C)^B$ the automorphisms of C that fix B elementwise. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(C)^B \cong \widehat{B}.$$

Proof. Let $x + yu \in B \oplus Bu = C$ and let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that g fixes B elementwise. Then by 5.3 we have that $g(u) = u + m + nu$ where $m \in B$, $n \in \widetilde{B}$ and $\mathrm{q}(m+nu) = \langle m, u\rangle$. Now, since $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C) \subset \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{q})$, for all $a \in B$ we have that

$$\langle a, u\rangle = \langle g(a), g(u)\rangle = \langle a, u + m + nu\rangle = \langle a, u\rangle + \langle \tilde{a}, nu\rangle.$$

This gives us $\langle \tilde{a}, nu\rangle = 0$ for all $\tilde{a} \in \widetilde{B}$, which is only true if $n = 0$. So $g(x + yu) = x + y(u + m)$ where $m \in \widehat{B}$. Moreover g is an involution of type II. □

Corollary 5.12. *An automorphism g is an involution of type II if and only if $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^B$.*

Proposition 5.13. *Let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C, B)$ such that $g(u) = u + m + nu$. Then*

$$\langle g(a), u\rangle = \langle a, u\rangle + \langle g(a), nu\rangle.$$

Proof. If $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C, B)$, then $g \in \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{q})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle a, u\rangle &= \langle g(a), g(u)\rangle \\ &= \langle g(a), u + m + nu\rangle \\ &= \langle g(a), u\rangle + \langle g(a), nu\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

since $B \subset B^{\perp}$. Solving for $\langle g(a), u\rangle$ we have our relation. □

Proposition 5.14. *If t and s are involutions of type II fixing a subalgebra B, where $t(u) = u + b$ and $s(u) = u + b'$, then t and s are $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugate if and only if there exists $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C, B)$ with $g(u) = u + m + nu$, $m + nu \in \widehat{C}$, and $g(b) = (e + n)b'$.*

Proof. Let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $gtg^{-1} = s$. Then $g(u) = u + m + nu$ for $m + nu \in \widehat{C}$, and

$$gt(u) = g(u + b) = u + m + nu + g(b),$$

and

$$sg(u) = s(u + m + nu) = u + b' + m + ns(u) = u + b' + m + nu + nb'.$$

Setting these two expressions equal to each other gives us

$$g(b) = (e + n)b'.$$

If we assume there exists $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $g(b) = (e + n)b'$ we can reverse the argument to show the converse. □

Lemma 5.15. *If $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$ where $t(u) = u + e$, then $g\big(\widetilde{B}\big) = \widetilde{B}$.*

Proof. Let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$. Then $g(B) = B$, and $g(u) = u + m$ for $m \in \widehat{B}$. For all $a \in B$, recall that $\tilde{a}$ is the projection of a onto $\widetilde{B}$. Then we have

$$\langle a, u\rangle = \langle g(a), g(u)\rangle = \langle g(a), u + m\rangle = \langle g(a), u\rangle.$$

Now $\langle g(a), u\rangle = \langle\langle a, u\rangle e + g(\tilde{a}), u\rangle$, so we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a, u\rangle &= \langle\langle a, u\rangle e + g(\tilde{a}), u\rangle \\ \langle a, u\rangle &= \langle a, u\rangle\langle e, u\rangle + \langle g(\tilde{a}), u\rangle \\ 0 &= \langle g(\tilde{a}), u\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in B$. So $g(\tilde{a}) \in \widetilde{B}$. □

Proposition 5.16. *Let $t(u) = u + e$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t} \cong \mathrm{Aut}(B) \ltimes \widehat{B}$.*

Proof. Let t be an involution of type II such that $t(u) = u + e$. Now, define the map $\Psi : \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t} \to \mathrm{Aut}(B) \ltimes \widehat{B}$ to be the map

$$\Psi(g) = (g_B, m),$$

where $g_B = g|_B$ and $g(u) = u + m$. By 5.15 and through straight forward computation, it can be shown that every $g_B \in \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ can be extended to an element $(g_B, m) \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ for any $m \in \widehat{B}$. So Ψ is onto, and

the $\ker(\Psi)$ is the set of automorphisms leaving B invariant that restrict to the identity on B and map u to itself or in other words $\ker(\Psi) = \{\mathrm{id}\}$. We can also show that Ψ is a homomorphism by computing

$$\begin{aligned} g'g(x+yu) &= g'\big(g_B(x) + g_B(y)(u+m)\big) \\ &= g'_B g_B(x) + g'_B g_B(y) g'_B(u+m) \\ &= g'_B g_B(x) + g'_B g_B(y)\big(u + m' + g'_B(m)\big) \end{aligned}$$

and taking the product in $\mathrm{Aut}(B) \ltimes \widehat{B}$ to be

$$(g'_B, m')(g_B, m) = \big(g'_B g_B, m' + g'_B(m)\big) \Rightarrow \Psi(g')\Psi(g) = \Psi(g'g).$$

□

Lemma 5.17. *Let t and s be involutions of type II fixing the same subalgebra B. If t is $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugate to s, then $\mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$ is $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugate to $\mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_s}$.*

Proof. Consider $h \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_s}$ and $gtg^{-1} = s$. This requires that $g(B) = B$. Then

$$h = shs = gtg^{-1}hgtg^{-1},$$

and

$$g^{-1}hg = tg^{-1}hgt.$$

□

Lemma 5.18. *If $C = B \oplus Bu$ is not a division algebra and $b \in \widehat{B}$ with $q(b) \neq 0$ then there exists $n \in \widetilde{B}$ such that $n = b^{-1} + e$.*

Proof. For any $b \in \widehat{B}$, $b^{-1} = \mathrm{q}(b)^{-1}b$, and the coefficient of the identity component of b is $\mathrm{q}(b)$ by definition of $\widehat{B}$. So $b^{-1} = e + \mathrm{q}(b)^{-1}\tilde{b}$. So we have $n = \mathrm{q}(b)^{-1}\tilde{b} \in \widetilde{B}$. □

Corollary 5.19. *Let t be an involution of type II fixing a totally singular subalgebra B such that $t(u) = u + b'$ and $\mathrm{q}(b') \neq 0$. Then t is $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugate to the map $u \to u + e = \bar{u}$.*

Proof. By choosing $b = e$ in 5.14 we have $e = (e+n)b'$. We are now in the situation described by 5.18, and so by 5.14 we have that the two maps are $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugate. □

Lemma 5.20. *Let B be a totally singular subalgebra that is not a division algebra. Let s be the involution of type II such that $s(u) = u + e$, and let t be an involution of type II such that $t(u) = u + b$ with $\mathrm{q}(b) = 0$ and $b \neq 0$. Then t is $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugate to s.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathrm{q}(b) = 0$. We want to find some $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C, B)$ such that $gt = sg$. By 5.14, we have that $g(b) = e + n$, where $\mathrm{q}(n) = 1$ and $n \in \tilde{B}$. Since $b \in \widehat{B}$, then $b = b_0 e + b_1 v + b_2 w + b_3 vw$, and since $\mathrm{q}(b) = 0$ it follows that $b_0 = 0$. Since g is an automorphism, then g is a bijection on B, and g preserves the norm and the bilinear form.

There are two possible isometry classes of quadratic forms $\langle\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle\rangle$ where B is not a division algebra $\langle\langle 1, \gamma \rangle\rangle$ and $\langle\langle 1, 1 \rangle\rangle$. Since $\mathrm{q}(b) = 0$ and $b \in \widehat{B}$, we have

$$\beta b_1^2 + \gamma b_2^2 + \beta\gamma b_3^2 = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Since $g(e) = e$, we can write the action of g on the basis of B:

$$\begin{aligned}
g(e) &= e \\
g(v) &= a_0 e + a_1 v + a_2 w + a_3 vw \\
g(w) &= d_0 e + d_1 v + d_2 w + d_3 vw \\
g(vw) &= g(v)g(w) \\
&= (a_0 d_0 + \beta a_1 d_1 + \gamma a_2 d_2 + \beta\gamma a_3 d_3)e \\
&\quad + (a_0 d_1 + a_1 d_0 + \gamma a_2 d_3 + \gamma a_3 d_2)v \\
&\quad + (a_0 d_2 + a_2 d_0 + \beta a_1 d_3 + \beta a_3 d_1)w \\
&\quad + (a_0 d_3 + a_3 d_0 + a_1 d_2 + a_2 d_1)vw
\end{aligned}$$

where $g(v)$ and $g(w)$ determine the image of $g(vw)$. The images are further subject to the norm conditions:

$$a_0^2 + \beta a_1^2 + \gamma a_2^2 + \beta\gamma a_3^2 = \beta \tag{5.3}$$
$$d_0^2 + \beta d_1^2 + \gamma d_2^2 + \beta\gamma d_3^2 = \gamma. \tag{5.4}$$

Since g is a bijection, we have $\det(M) \neq 0$, where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_0 & d_0 & a_0 d_0 + a_1 d_1 + a_2 d_2 + a_3 d_3 \\ 0 & a_1 & d_1 & a_0 d_1 + a_1 d_0 + a_2 d_3 + a_3 d_2 \\ 0 & a_2 & d_2 & a_0 d_2 + a_2 d_0 + a_1 d_3 + a_3 d_1 \\ 0 & a_3 & d_3 & a_0 d_3 + a_1 d_2 + a_2 d_1 + a_3 d_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\det(M) = a_2^2d_1^2 + a_1^2d_2^2 + \beta a_3^2d_1^2 + \beta a_1^2d_3^2 + \gamma a_3^2d_2^2 + \gamma a_2^2d_3^2 \tag{5.5}$$
$$= a_1^2(d_2^2 + \beta d_3^2) + a_2^2(d_1^2 + \gamma d_3^2) + a_3^2(\beta d_1^2 + \gamma d_2^2) \tag{5.6}$$

Assuming the quadratic form is $\langle\langle 1, \gamma \rangle\rangle$, the following conditions are due to the norm,

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^2 + a_1^2 + \gamma a_2^2 + \gamma a_3^2 &= 1 \\ d_0^2 + d_1^2 + \gamma d_2^2 + \gamma d_3^2 &= \gamma \\ b_1^2 + \gamma b_2^2 + \gamma b_3^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

and by equating terms containing the non-square γ, we have the following simple conditions

$$a_1 = a_0 + 1,\ a_3 = a_2,\ d_1 = d_0,\ d_3 = d_2 + 1,\ b_1 = 0,\ b_3 = b_2.$$

Using these, the condition on the determinant reduces significantly to

$$\det(M) = a_1^2(d_2^2 + d_3^2) + a_2^2(d_1^2 + \gamma d_3^2) + a_3(d_1^2 + \gamma d_2^2) \tag{5.7}$$
$$= 1 + a_0^2 + \gamma a_2^2. \tag{5.8}$$

Therefore, g is a bijection only if the both of these conditions are satisfied: $a_0 \neq 1$ and $a_2 \neq 0$. Applying all the above conditions to the coefficients in the map g from above, we have

$$g(e) = e \tag{5.9}$$
$$g(v) = a_0e + (a_0 + 1)v + a_2w + a_2vw \tag{5.10}$$
$$g(w) = d_0e + d_0v + d_2w + (d_2 + 1)vw \tag{5.11}$$
$$g(vw) = (\gamma a_2 + d_0)e + (\gamma a_2 + d_0)v + (a_0 + d_2 + 1)w + (a_0 + d_2)vw. \tag{5.12}$$

We want to choose coefficients of $g(v)$ and $g(w)$ so that $g(b) = e+n$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} g(b) &= g(b_2w + b_2vw) \\ &= b_2\big[g(w) + g(vw)\big] \\ &= b_2\big(d_0e + d_0v + d_2w + (d_2 + 1)vw\big) \\ &+ b_2\big((\gamma a_2 + d_0)e + (\gamma a_2 + d_0)v + (a_0 + d_2 + 1)w + (a_0 + d_2)vw\big) \\ &= b_2\Big(\gamma a_2(e + v) + (1 + a_0)(w + vw)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

From this expression, we must choose a_2 so that $\gamma b_2 a_2 = 1$; in other words, $a_2 = \frac{1}{\gamma b_2}$. Note that $b_2 \neq 0$ and $\gamma \neq 0$, so this is possible to do.

Now suppose the bilinear form is $\langle\langle 1, 1 \rangle\rangle$ and $\gamma = 1 = \beta$. The conditions on $g(v)$ and $g(w)$ are $a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = 1$ and $d_0^2 + d_1^2 + d_2^2 + d_3^2 = 1$; additionally, from $\mathrm{q}(b) = 0$, we have $b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 = 0$. So it follows that

$$a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1 \tag{5.13}$$
$$d_0 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = 1 \tag{5.14}$$
$$b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = 0. \tag{5.15}$$

Notice that $b_1 + b_2 = b_3$, and if $b_1 + b_2 = 0$ then $b_1 = b_2$ and $b_3 = 0$. The condition on the determinant reduces to

$$\det(M) = a_1^2(d_2^2 + d_3^2) + a_2^2(d_1^2 + d_3^2) + a_3^2(d_1^2 + d_2^2). \tag{5.16}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(e) &= e \\ g(v) &= a_0 e + a_1 v + a_2 w + a_3 vw \\ g(w) &= d_0 e + d_1 v + d_2 w + d_3 vw \\ g(vw) &= (a_0 d_0 + a_1 d_1 + a_2 d_2 + a_3 d_3)e \\ &\quad + (a_0 d_1 + a_1 d_0 + a_2 d_3 + a_3 d_2)v \\ &\quad + (a_0 d_2 + a_2 d_0 + a_1 d_3 + a_3 d_1)w \\ &\quad + (a_0 d_3 + a_3 d_0 + a_1 d_2 + a_2 d_1)vw \end{aligned}$$

We must have $g(b) = e + n$ where $n \in \tilde{B}$. This implies that

$$1 = b_1 a_0 + b_2 d_0 + b_3\big(a_0 d_0 + a_1 d_1 + a_2 d_2 + a_3 d_3\big). \tag{5.17}$$

This condition depends on which field we are using; however, there are always ways to choose the coefficients of $g(v)$ and $g(w)$ in such a way that 5.17 is satisfied. □

Proposition 5.21. *If B is a division algebra, then no two elements in B have the same norm.*

Proof. Suppose $a, b \in B$ such that $\mathrm{q}(a) = \mathrm{q}(b)$. Then

$$\mathrm{q}(a + b) = \mathrm{q}(a) + \mathrm{q}(b) + \langle a, b \rangle = \mathrm{q}(a) + \mathrm{q}(b) = 0.$$

□

Corollary 5.22. *If B is a division algebra then* $\mathrm{Aut}(B) = \{\mathrm{id}\}$.

If $\mathcal{I}_t$ is $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugate to $\mathcal{I}_s$ then we have shown that $g(b) = c + cn$ by 5.14. With this in mind we can prove the following.

Proposition 5.23. *Let B be a division algebra and let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $g(B) = B$. Then $g(u) = u + m$ for $m \in \widehat{B}$.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathrm{Aut}(C) \subset \mathrm{O(q)}$ so $\langle g(a), g(u)\rangle = \langle a, u\rangle$ for all $a \in B$. Now since $g(B) = B$ we have by 5.22 that $g|_B = \mathrm{id}$. So

$$\langle g(a), g(u)\rangle = \langle a, u + m + nu\rangle.$$

Notice that $\langle a, m\rangle = 0$, so we have

$$\langle a, u\rangle = \langle a, u\rangle + \langle a, mu\rangle.$$

Which gives us the relation

$$\langle a, nu\rangle = 0,$$

for all $a \in B$. In particular it must be true for $v \in B$, where $v^2 = \beta e$. Computing the bilinear form of v with nu where $n \in \widetilde{B}$, we have

$$\langle v, nu\rangle = \langle v, n_1 vu\rangle = \beta n_1 \langle e, u\rangle = \beta n_1 = 0.$$

Since $\beta \neq 0$, it follows that $n_1 = 0$. A similar argument shows that $n_2 = 0$ and $n_3 = 0$. Hence $n = 0$. This puts $m \in \widehat{B}$. □

This reduces the equation $b = c + cn$ to $b = c$. So we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.24. *Let B be a four dimensional totally singular division subalgebra of C and let t and s be involutions of type II such that $t(u) = u + b$ and $s(u) = u + c$. Then $\mathcal{I}_t$ is $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugate to $\mathcal{I}_s$ if and only if $b = c$.*

So for each element of $\widehat{B}$ the conjugacy class consists of a single element. It is important to note that there are elements of $\widehat{B}$ that are not 0 or e.

Example 5.25. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_2(\chi_1, \chi_2)$, and choose $\mathrm{q} \sim \langle\!\langle \chi_1, \chi_2\rangle\!\rangle$. Then the element

$$\frac{\chi_1^2}{\chi_1^2 + \chi_2} e + \frac{\chi_1}{\chi_1^2 + \chi_2} w \in \widehat{B}.$$

To see this we take the norm

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{q}\left(\frac{\chi_1^2}{\chi_1^2+\chi_2}e+\frac{\chi_1}{\chi_1^2+\chi_2}w\right) &= \left(\frac{\chi_1^2}{\chi_1^2+\chi_2}\right)^2+\chi_2\left(\frac{\chi_1}{\chi_1^2+\chi_2}\right)^2 \\
&= \frac{\chi_1^4}{\chi_1^4+\chi_2^2}+\chi_2\frac{\chi_1^2}{\chi_1^4+\chi_2^2} \\
&= \frac{\chi_1^4+\chi_1^2\chi_2}{\chi_1^4+\chi_2^2} \\
&= \frac{\chi_1^2(\chi_1^2+\chi_2)}{(\chi_1^2+\chi_2)(\chi_1^2+\chi_2)} \\
&= \frac{\chi_1^2}{\chi_1^2+\chi_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.26. *Let B be a division algebra and t an involution of type II such that $t(u) = u + b$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t} \cong \widehat{B}$.*

Proof. Recall that, by 5.22, $\mathrm{Aut}(B) = \{\mathrm{id}\}$. If $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$, we have shown that $g(B) = B$, so $g|_B \in \mathrm{Aut}(B) = \{\mathrm{id}\}$. This means that $g(u)$ completely determines g. And we have shown that, when B is a division algebra, the admissible images of u under $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t}$ consist of $g(u) = u + m$ where $m \in \widehat{B}$. So $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)^B \cong \widehat{B}$. □

Theorem 5.27. *Let B be a totally singular four dimensional subalgebra of C with a quadratic form* q.

(1) *If* $\mathrm{q} \sim \langle\langle 1, 1\rangle\rangle$ *or* $\mathrm{q} \sim \langle\langle 1, \gamma\rangle\rangle$ $\gamma \notin k^2$, *then there is one* $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$*-conjugacy class of involutions of type II fixing B and one isomorphism class of* $\mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t} \cong \mathrm{Aut}(B) \ltimes \widehat{B}$

(2) *If* $\mathrm{q} \sim \langle\langle \beta, \gamma\rangle\rangle$ $\gamma \notin k^2$ *and* $\beta \notin k^2(\gamma)$, *then there is an* $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$*-conjugacy class of involutions of type II fixing B for each element of $\widehat{B}$ and only one isomorphism class of* $\mathrm{Aut}(C)^{\mathcal{I}_t} \cong \widehat{B}$.

Proof. For the cases when $\beta = 1$ from 5.19 and 5.20 we know that there is only one $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugacy class of involutions of type II, and so by 5.17 there is only one $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugacy class of fixed point groups in $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. When q defines a division algebra B, by 5.24 and 5.26, we have many $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$-conjugacy classes of involutions all having the same centralizer. □

This leads us into a discussion of the related Galois cohomology following the notation and conventions set by Serre in [23]. In general, the Galois cohomology groups $H^0(k, \mathrm{Aut}(C_K)) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(C_k)$ and $H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(C_K))$ give us the K/k-forms of $\mathrm{Aut}(C_K)$ over k. We are interested in the cohomology group that corresponds to a class of involutions on $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. In particular we are interested in the K/k-forms of $\mathrm{Aut}(C_K, D_K)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(C_K, B_K)$ the subgroups that fix our four dimensional subalgebras of C. Let K be the algebraic closure of k then and recall that when $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$ all four dimensional subalgebras are quaternion subalgebras and we have

$$H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(C_K, D_K)) \cong H^1(k, D_K) \cong H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(C_K)^{\mathcal{I}_t}).$$

When $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$

$$H^1(k, B_K) \cong H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(C_K, B_K)) \cong H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(C_K)^{\mathcal{I}_t}),$$

when B is not a division algebra and t is an involution of type II that fixes B elementwise. This gives us the following bijection.

Proposition 5.28. *There is a bijection between the set of unique biquadratic field extensions*

$$k^2 \subset k^2(\beta, \gamma) \subset k$$

and elements of $H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(C_K)^{\mathcal{I}_t})$.

Proof. This follows from 5.27 and 5.6. □

When t is of type I we have that the K/k-forms associated with t are trivial since t always fixes a split quaternion subalgebra of which there is only one isomorphism class.

References

[1] Michael Aschbacher, Gary M Seitz, et al. Involutions in Chevalley groups over fields of even order. *Nagoya Math Journal*, 63(1–91):118, 1976.

[2] Antonio Babic and Vladimir Chernousov. Lower bounds for essential dimensions in characteristic 2 via orthogonal representations. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 279(1):37–63, 2015.

[3] Marcel Berger. Les espaces symétriques noncompacts. In *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, volume 74, pages 85–177. Société mathématique de France, 1957.

[4] Elizabeth Callens and Tom de Medts. Moufang sets arising from polarities of Moufang planes over octonion division algebras. *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 143(1-2):171–189, 2014.

[5] Élie Cartan. Sur une classe remarquable d'espaces de Riemann. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 54:214–264, 1926.

[6] Élie Cartan. Sur une classe remarquable d'espaces de Riemann. II. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 55:114–134, 1927.

[7] Adam Chapman, Andrew Dolphin, and Ahmed Laghribi. Total linkage of quaternion algebras and Pfister forms in characteristic two. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 220(11):3676–3691, 2016.

[8] Claude C. Chevalley. Sur certains groupes simples. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal, Second Series*, 7(1-2):14–66, 1955.

[9] Leonard E. Dickson. Theory of linear groups in an arbitrary field. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 2(4):363–394, 1901.

[10] Leonard E. Dickson. A new system of simple groups. *Mathematische Annalen*, 60(1):137–150, 1905.

[11] Andrew Dolphin. Orthogonal Pfister involutions in characteristic two. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 218(10):1900–1915, 2014.

[12] Peter Draxl. *Skew Fields*, volume 81. London Mathematical Society, 1983.

[13] Richard Elman, Nikita Karpenko, and Alexander S. Merkurjev. *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, volume 56. American Mathematical Society, 2008.

[14] Felix Gantmacher. On the classification of real simple Lie groups. *Matematiceskij sbornik*, 5(2):217–250, 1939.

[15] Aloysius G. Helminck. Algebraic groups with a commuting pair of involutions. *Advances in Mathematics*, 71:21–91, 1988.

[16] Aloysius G. Helminck. On the classification of k-involutions. *Advances in Mathematics*, 153(1):1–117, 1988.

[17] Detlev Hoffmann and Ahmed Laghribi. Quadratic forms and Pfister neighbors in characteristic 2. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 356(10):4019–4053, 2004.

[18] Adolf Hurwitz. Über die komposition der quadratischen formen. *Mathematische Annalen*, 88(1):1–25, 1922.

[19] John Hutchens. Isomorphy classes of k-involutions of G_2. *Journal of Algebra and its Applications*, 13(7):1–16, 2014.

[20] Nathan Jacobson. Composition algebras and their automorphisms. *Rend. del Cir. Mat. di Palermo*, 7(1):55–80, 1958.

[21] Amir Hossein Nokhodkar. The chain equivalence of totally decomposable orthogonal involutions in characteristic two. 2016.

[22] Nathaniel Schwartz. k-involutions of $SL_n(k)$ over fields of characteristic 2. *arXiv:1510.00054*, 2015.

[23] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Galois Cohomology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[24] Tonny A. Springer and Ferdinand D. Veldkamp. *Octonions, Jordan Algebras, and Exceptional Groups*. Monograms in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2000.

[25] Gomer Thomas. A characterization of the group $G_2(2^n)$. *Journal of Algebra*, 13:87–118, 1969.

[26] Ichiro Yokota. Realizations of involutive automorphisms σ and G_σ of exceptional linear Lie groups G, part I, $G = G_2, F_4$ and E_6. *Tsukuba Journal of Mathematics*, 14(1):185–223, 1990.